## Basic Time Series Concepts

## February 5, 2019

The material in this set of notes is based on S&S 1.1-1.6.

Suppose we observe an  $n \times 1$  vector  $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \boldsymbol{\mu}_x + \boldsymbol{w}$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_x$  is a fixed but unknown mean,  $\boldsymbol{w}$  are random errors and elements of  $\boldsymbol{x}$  are ordered in time. We will refer to  $\boldsymbol{x}$  as a **time series**, although the sequence of elements can also be called a **stochastic process**.

The joint distribution function of  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is

$$F(c_1,\ldots,c_n)=P(x_1\leq c_1,\ldots,x_n\leq c_n).$$

Often, this will be difficult to write out and work with, so it does not provide a useful means of characterizing a time series  $\boldsymbol{x}$ . Instead, we often characterize a time series  $\boldsymbol{x}$  via its:

- Mean Function:  $\mu_{x,t} = \mathbb{E}[x_t] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_t(x) dx$ , where  $f_t(x)$  is the marginal density of  $x_t$  having integrated out all other elements of  $\boldsymbol{x}$ .
- Autocovariance Function:  $\gamma_x(s,t) = \mathbb{E}[(x_s \mu_{x,s})(x_t \mu_{x,t})]$  for all s and t.
  - When s = t, gives the variance  $\gamma_x(s, s) = \mathbb{V}[x_s]$ .
- Autocorrelation Function:  $\rho_x(s,t) = \gamma(s,t)/\sqrt{\gamma(s,s)\gamma(t,t)}$  for all s and t.

Without further assumptions, this is still an unwieldy way to characterize a time series because the mean function depends on t and the autocovariance and autocorrelation functions depend on both s and t. To simplify things further, we often assume that the time series is either:

- Strongly Stationary: The distribution of any subset of k elements of  $(x_{t_1}, \ldots, x_{t_k})$  is exactly the same as the distribution of the shifted set of k elements  $(x_{t_1+h}, \ldots, x_{t_k+h})$ .
  - The mean function  $\mu_{x,t}$  does not depend on t:  $\mu_{x,t} = \mathbb{E}[x_t] = \mathbb{E}[x_{t+h}] = \mu_{x,t+h}$ .
  - The autocovariance function  $\gamma_x(s,t)$  depends on s and t only through their absolute difference h = |s - t|:

$$\gamma(s+h,s) = \mathbb{E}[(x_{s+h} - \mu_x)(x_s - \mu_x)]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[(x_h - \mu_x)(x_0 - \mu_x)]$$
$$= \gamma(h, 0).$$

• Weakly Stationary: The second moments of  $x_t$  are finite, i.e.  $\mathbb{E}[x_t^2] < \infty$  for all t, the mean function is constant and does not depend on time,  $\mu_{x,t} = \mu_x$ , and the autocovariance function  $\gamma_x(s,t)$  depends on s and t only through their absolute difference h = |s - t|.

Note that although strong stationarity implies weak stationarity, the reverse does not hold. Strong stationarity is usually too strict to be a reasonable assumption, so from here on out we will call a time series **stationary** if it is **weakly stationary**.

When a time series is stationary, its autocovariance and autocorrelation functions can be written as functions of a single variable h. For this reason, we will drop the second arguments of the autocovariance and autocorrelation functions when a time series is stationary, writing  $\gamma_x(h) = \gamma_x(h, 0)$  and  $\rho_x(h) = \rho_x(h, 0)$ .

When we observe a time series  $\boldsymbol{x}$ , we do not know the mean, autocovariance, or autocorrelation functions a priori - we need to estimate them. When  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is stationary we can compute: • The sample mean function:

$$\hat{\mu}_x = \bar{x} = \sum_{t=1}^n x_t / n.$$
(1)

• The sample autocovariance function:

$$\hat{\gamma}_x(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-h} \left( x_{t+h} - \hat{\mu}_x \right) \left( x_t - \hat{\mu}_x \right),$$
(2)

with  $\hat{\gamma}_{x}(-h) = \hat{\gamma}_{x}(h)$  for h = 0, 1, ..., n - 1.

- We divide by n and not n − h to ensure that the sample variance of a sum of elements of x computed from the n×n sample autocovariance matrix with entries 
   <sup>^</sup>
   <sup>^</sup>
   <sup>(i − j)</sup> will always be nonnegative.
- This is a biased estimate of  $\gamma_x(h)$ .
- The sample autocorrelation function:

$$\hat{\rho}_x(h) = \frac{\hat{\gamma}_x(h)}{\hat{\gamma}_x(0)}.$$
(3)

When we examine a sample autocorrelation function, it is natural to ask how different our estimates of the sample autocorrelation are from what we would might expect if  $\boldsymbol{x}$  were a **white noise** time series with no autocorrelation at all, i.e. if  $\rho_x(h) = 0$  for all  $h \neq 0$ . We can get a handle on this using the following result:

If  $\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_x + \boldsymbol{w}$  where  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_x = \boldsymbol{0}$  and  $w_i \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_w^2)$  for  $i = 1, \ldots n$ , then  $\hat{\rho}_x(h) \approx v/\sqrt{n}$ , for  $h = 1, \ldots H$ , where  $v \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  and H is fixed but arbitrary.

This result allows us to perform an approximate test of the null hypothesis that  $\rho_x(h) = 0$ for any h > 1.